

CJA meeting summary, June 09

This is summary of the meetings and discussions that happened during the June CJA meeting (excluding working/affinity group meetings). Complete notes of the meeting have been sent out and will be available on the website.

Who is doing what for COP 15?

This was a chance for those at the meeting to introduce themselves and say what activities they has planned for COP 15

Where we are we now?

A review of the last meeting and what has already been agreed:

- Copenhagen, September 08, the First meeting. Was initiated by small group mainly from autonomous scene. The meeting discussed central issues such as legitimacy/illegitimacy of COP process.
- Poznan December 08, met with a broad international group to discuss the possibilities of mobilising for COP15 and the process.
- Belem, February 08, outreach meeting. Proposed some changes to the call to action, dropping the reference to “no deal is better than a bad deal”. Supported declaration of CJ in Belem.
- Copenhagen, March 09, meeting produced many results: strategic goals and principles for cooperation. Also proposals for action and a name we agreed.

Concrete Aims

People were asked to discuss in small groups about the concrete aims that people wanted to achieve in Copenhagen in December. The groups gave their feedback and the aims were discussed in Plenary.

It was agreed that the group would like to use shared messaging and that this was an important part of achieving our aims. A group was formed to write some messaging text, based around Climate Justice and the discussions that had taken place.

Klimaforum update.

A presentation was given explaining the history of the klimforum and where things are at the moment.

Kilmaforum: Civil Society’s Climate Forum, believes that a finely balanced relation to nature is needed, thus reducing consumption and production, rather than uncritically exploiting nature and believing in economic growth as is the case in global society today. To attain such change we need new ways of thinking, new

cultural values, and new means of organising society. With this starting point, Civil Society's Climate Forum wishes to promote and debate true, renewable and environmentally sustainable solutions to the climatic changes we are facing.

There was consensus to support the Klimaforum platform statement (can be found here: <http://www.klimaforum09.org/en/Platform/tabid/309/Default.aspx>)

Name discussion

There was a further discussion of the name, it was decided to keep the name 'Climate Justice Action'.

Strategy

Groups were asked to discuss the following:

- What is the nature of the network (are we just sharing information or to what extent do we want to do mass actions together?)
- How we will achieve our goals in Copenhagen in December?
- How to build a global network
- Specific things that individuals and groups can contribute

This was then discussed in plenary. There was agreement that the network would be used for info sharing, but there was also a strong desire for doing collective actions.

Actions

The proposal for modifying the 'creating new spaces' action was supported and a group was asked to further develop the concept. (details of the action were developed further and supported by the network)

The "Hit the production of climate chaos" action was supported.

Details of both actions can be found in the complete notes.

Messaging

The messaging group came back with their text. It was read out to the group and minor amendments were suggested. The group later came back and presented the revised text, which was supported. The text can be found in the complete notes.

After COP15... how we see ourselves in 2010?

A discussion was had about CJA after COP 15 and what we intended to do in 2010 (There was a desire to continue working after COP15, this discussion will continue at the next meeting)

Next meeting

It was agreed that the Klimaforum in **Copenhagen** will organize the next CJA meeting (including accomodation, food, etc). Meeting space for 200... and smaller spaces as well. The meeting will be from **Oct. 16-18th** (after Bangkok).

It was also agreed that each region would hold a “regional mobilization meetings” beforehand.

GCCA

Representatives from GCCA were asked to explain about their network. There was a discussion around the nature of GCCA and its relation to CJA.

After concerns had been raised about the nature of the involvement of some individuals from other networks or organisations the following statements were made

- We ask those who are here representing their organization: not share details of actions that have been agreed at this meeting. The fact that we're planning a march is OK. CJA will officially tell GCCA what it wants to share... that way the reps here don't take responsibility for passing information.
- We ask those who are here representing their organization: to actively disengage from influencing decision-making if you disagree with CJA strategic goals. NOTE: if a group is “fundamentally in conflict”, they shouldn't be at the meeting.

Final report back from working groups/affinity groups

The various groups gave reports back on their progress. The Financing committee' budget was agreed.

Evaluation

People were given a chance to evaluate how the weekend had gone.

CJA June meeting, Consensus Decisions made

- Support for Klimaforum platform agreed
- Name 'Climate Justice Action' agreed
- 'Reclaim Power: Pushing for Climate Justice' action agreed
- The 'Hit the production of climate chaos' action agreed
- Klimaforum in CPH will organize the next CJA meeting (including accomodation, food, etc).
- Next meeting Oct. 16-18th (after Bangkok).
- Call on each region / country to have “regional mobilization meetings” before next meeting.
- Messaging text agreed

- Financing committee's proposed budget agreed

FRIDAY

10am-12noon: Who is doing what for COP 15?

Started with an introduction of consensus process, hand sign, etc.

Gerit, FOE Sweden: project bringing school children to CPH/COP

Lina, FOE Sweden

Jens, Sweden, here to learn

?**Jeni**, FOE Sweden

Dom Marat, Indonesia, Peoples Movement on Climate Change, Peoples Assembly on Peoples Protocol

Mooness, Amsterdam

Olivier, linking with WTO summit in Geneva

Milo, Freedom from Debt Coalition, discussions on climate change and mobilisations in front of US embassy and mobilising for Bangkok

Janet, Institute for Policy Studies, US, part of CJN!, key aim is to "Keep World Bank out of Climate Finance." Alternative newspaper for COP 15

Gustav, autonomous groups Sweden, activist kitchen, direct action

Dottie, Focus on the Global South and CJN!, inside-outside, working with Thai Working Group for Climate Justice for Bangkok mobilisation

Anna, Danchurchaid, here to learn what's going on

Til, Atmospheric Disorder, Hamburg, mass actions for Copenhagen

Tannie, Danish Climate Collective, mainly logistics

Nicola, Climate Collective, mobilising for a big movement and direct actions

Arild, climate camp Copenhagen, direct action in September "Shut it down"

Maxime, Initiative pour un autre monde (IPAM), ESF, trying to organise French network

Jade, Mouvements, initiative to organise a tribunal of crimes against climate, French Climate Action Camp in August

Nicola, Focuson the Global South and CJN!

Tony Anderson, Permaculture, KlimaForum 09, proposing “no deal is better than a bad deal”, less than 1t CO2 per person p.a.

Christophe, ATTAC, mobilisation, building coalition in France, want to be part of the alt forum

Stine, Climate Collective, anti-capitalistic approach but can coordinate with others
▪

Kathrine, Denmark, here to learn more

Daniel, Klimax, Danish Climate Camp

Michaela, Christiania, wanting to connect with other group

Reza, Equity and Justice WG Bangladesh, Jubilee South, planning demonstration in Dakar, linking to the international groups

Fabrina, Jubilee South, illegitimate, ecological and climate debt, strengthening participation of other groups

Dili, India Social Action Forum, good deal bad deal no deal it's all OK, capitalism has to go

Lidy, Jubilee South, mobilisations in 8 Asian countries, key dates in August against embassies (US), day of action in October and day

Bente, NOAH, KlimaForum, creating a space for all of us to use, some joint political statements

Joanna, Carbon Trade Watch/TNI, G8 and climate camps, alternative newspaper, CJN!, direct action in LA during COP

Michael, Belfast

Stephanie, FOE International, CJ and energy programme, about 100 campaigners in CPH for two weeks, inside, media, outside, KlimaForum, 100 youth activists doing outside mobilisations

Thorsten, Greenpeace, logistics and coordination

Dima, Greenpeace, 60 or so campaigners, + 1000 on outside doing actions, coordinating the outside “circus”. Want to share some ideas, and make sure that what they plan works with what everyone else is doing and work together. Also would like to link to Russian activist groups.

Honza, Czech Republic

Abigail, US, Rising Tide, Mobilisation for Climate Justice, in lead up to COP 15, G20 in Pittsburg, Chicago action in September, climate convergence in west coast, Nov 30th

David, US, Rising Tide, Mobilisation for Climate Justice, media workshop for pop education material "Beehive design collective" galvanise messages and broaden discussion in US, framing in a new way

Mads, Climate Collective, Klimax, wants to get away from Denmark

Hannah, FOE France, "Flood" bringing 500 people on train, coordinating with Greenpeace, using art/creative tools

Birk, Climate Collective, Social Youth Front of Denmark, organising buses from all over Denmark, linking with unions and students

Chris, Netherlands, "Time to Turn", young Christians for justice and environment

Peter, Netherlands, Earth First, Climate Camp on Belgium and Netherlands border, direct action in Dec

Graham, Camp for Climate Action UK, Time to Turn (Christian direct action), SPEAK, (Campaigners), radical Christian networks to engage in CPH

Anna, Youth Climate Campaigner, CAN, wanting to radicalise NGOs, bridging organisations, ensure that diversity creates actions, climate justice fast

Francis, Belgium, Climate and Social Justice, organising a train (sharing with FOE)

Samir, Togo, ATTAC, wants to link groups from South and increase African participation, youth volunteers for the environment, bringing people to CPH by boat, participating in Social Forum, campaigning against consumption and climate

Melissa, US/Denmark, training for MS/Action Aid, focusing on climate justice and globalisation, may focus on Maersk or Danish pension fund

John, Belfast, promoting Irish climate camp in central Ireland, looking for agreement on many different forms of activism. Socially vetted green taxes. Should be demanding 40 and accept 30 (political discussions later).

Gary, Scotland, UK climate camp, linking with other climate camps

Tash, coordinate with anti-capitalist activists in Australia, targeting business involvement, planning a post-COP action targeting a particular climate criminal, and bringing them down.

Claudia, Colombia, introduced herself with Pacific Colombia music and dancing (indigenous and black communities), spoke about re-civilising the situation of

communities who have suffered through climate change through artistic production, linking with students unions with activists and artists.

Victoria, Sweden, bicycle or walk across bridge, make it possible for everyone to come and do what they want to do. Food is important. One network growing food outside Malmo. Want a noisy COP. Klimax network Sweden. "Never trust a COP" network. Want to show that everything is connected. Swedish traditions for mid-summer's eve and will teach us a frog dance

Maitet, Peoples Movement on Climate Change, Peoples Protocol, organising national consultations (UK, Germany, Denmark, Sweden, Italy, etc).

Nina, Corporate Europe Observatory, want to link with people who want to organise actions on corporations, linking with other actions

Soile, Finland, anticapitalist group (like Rising Tide), aiming for successful direct actions and anti-capitalist actions, FOE Finland "human flood"

Roy, Amsterdam, Dutch Belgium Climate Camp, also linked to XminY fund, involved in the "no border" camp in Calais

Maïke, greenet (?)

Chris, Climate Camp, "Never Trust a COP" (NTAC) that was formed in Utrecht 2 months ago, based on analysis that climate change is a symptom of the capitalist system, mobilising autonomous groups, NTAC in solidarity but not directly part of CJA, it is a space for autonomous affinity groups, people are invited to join group.

Lucy, AIC Jerusalem/Bethlehem, looking at the links between climate justice and conflict

Corinna, Young Greens Germany

Ayodele Akele, Nigeria, coordinating Nigeria Climate Justice Forum, organising grassroots movements, unions, market women etc. towards December. Want to leave with a mandate or resolution so that there is concurrence between Nigeria activities and CPH. About forty members but hoping to expand in numbers and scope.

Titilola, Labour, Health and Human Rights Development, Nigeria, need to find solutions

Kae, Thai Working Group on Climate Justice, linking climate to different constituencies (agriculture, energy, forest) expect about 10 people from working group to join in Copenhagen, and will use the Bangkok inter-sessional as a preparations and mobilisation. Would like to see coordination before Bangkok meeting to make sure that it can be a platform for the South towards Copenhagen.

Phil, Global Climate Campaign, global day of action (since 2005). Will be mobilising for December 12 this year.

Shannon, Amsterdam, Dutch Belgium Climate Camp, European Youth for Action, “Climate Change is NOT and environmental issue” – border, anti-militarism, anti-capitalism, etc. to bring new groups into the movement and to show the links.

12:00 – 13:00: Where we are we now?

Review of last meetings and what has already been agreed.

- The first meeting in September 2008 was initiated by small group mainly from autonomous scene. The meeting discussed central issues such as legitimacy/illegitimacy of COP process.
- In Poznan, in December, a broader international group met to discuss the process and the possibilities of mobilising for COP15.
- In Belem, Brazil in February, an outreach meeting proposed some changes to the call to action, dropping the reference to “no deal is better than a bad deal”. Declaration of Climate Justice was also prepared in Belem.
- The March, again in Copenhagen, produced many results: strategic goals and principles for cooperation. Also proposals for action and a name we agreed.

Questions:

Lidy: Tribunal proposal: being discussed by different networks. JS is looking at having a session of their continuing process in December, focussing on climate debt as a component of climate debt. Would like to focus on broader concept of ecological debt.

Fabrina: tribunal in Bolivia in October

13:00 -14:00: Concrete aims (small group discussions)

People were asked to discuss in small groups about the concrete aims that people wanted to achieve in Copenhagen in December. The groups gave their feedback to the plenary.

Concrete Aims Feedback

Group I

- coordinate analysis and messaging around actions
- have an inside battle plan for folks who are inside (it is human system failure not human activity that is driving the problem)
- broaden knowledge of corporate power

Group II

- most of the group will be part of several kinds of activities (action, klimaforum, etc) – need a mapping of what is happening here in Cph during the two weeks
- use mapping to have a choreography of action
- bad scenario: G8 2005 Scotland – huge demonstration led by big NGOs like Oxfam being tool of Tony Blair government#
- the risk exists for this to happen again: NGOs supporting defacto position with horrible European politicians as the heroes of the day – must avoid this and come up with answer and strategy to avoid this
- action has to be strong enough to show what we need – can't just be the classic demonstration (at same time, this isn't just a direct action movement so we need to use our diversity)
- need clear message to push against people who only want to be in the negotiations and think the answers can happen there
- first idea for slogans/common ideas: no false solutions, leave fossil fuels in the ground, against privatisation – socialise the energy sector, acknowledge ecological debt, different production patterns, starting with food and basic needs, indigenous people know best how to protect the forest

Group III

- two strategic predicaments:
- methods we choose (violence) may end up destructing the network's message
- do we want to endorse good delegates and challenge the bad?
- mostly we need to build up the movement and increase numbers
- how do we bring them here? – want sustainable ways
- awareness events: climate camps, etc
- make it easy for the media and world public by having simple demands
- should we be demanding carbon targets
- empower the vulnerable
- provide with resources to improve and change the economy – green taxing, etc
- bottom meeting place/counter summit
- permanent and sequential events including tribunal sittings, art events, installations, media center and convergence points, fasting, aboriginal space, information space

Group IV

- why do we come to Cph and what do we want to achieve?
- Two big aims:
- stopping catastrophic climate change
- movement building beyond the summit
- also want to broaden understanding that climate change is not an environmental issue
- aims for CJA:

- get as many people as possible to Cph and create the space and facilities here so they can protest in their own way, also planning mass mobilisations that people can participate in
- ideas that they didn't have agreement on:
- a final solution for the climate change vs. leaving it to governments
- using anger to encourage people to use their collective power
- get governments to change their positions away from belief in market based mechanisms

Group V

- a clear agenda of alternatives: further develop this in a working group here and come to COP15 with clear understanding of our alternatives
- link this with our actions at the event
- if there is any relation to demands to the COP it should only be to defend the principles of polluter pays and historical responsibility – this should be in terms of ecological debt and reparations
- the cop cannot and will not solve the climate crisis
- challenge the crisis mentality and rushed solutions that say we don't have enough time to do what we want to

Group VI

- destroy the belief in the COP process as capable of achieving anything
- ie: adaptation funds necessary for some groups vs. other groups now being at the point where they are convinced that adaptation funds won't happen
- signal the need for climate movements everywhere – mobilise in our own countries against governments and corporations
- denounce climate colonialism → new form of colonialism with privatisation of lands, etc
- we must take power into our own hands

Group VII

- we do not believe we are going to get an agreement so our aim is to build a strong enough movement that they must start listening to us
- call for climate justice
- give voice to the people most affected by climate change
- clear statements that everyone in the network can agree on and if we don't agree on a climate action at least everyone can agree within this call to climate justice
- we can agree on the agenda better than the actions

Summary of the feedback from the facilitators:

- can be divided into four main groups:
- political calls addressing power relations, addressing the COP, criticising it
- analysis, principles, demand for climate justice, our alternative analysis

- mobilisations (different activities and actions we want to do and strengthening the movement)
- highlighting our alternatives

15:00 – 16:30: Plenary discussion: Concrete Aims

Discussion

- would like to see aims that we can achieve by December – not the big aims that are in the strategic goals, but things like having a big enough mass of people that shows we are fed up with and done with the COP process
- feeling that there is not enough time in this discussion to move from the strategic goals to the kind of deep thought and preparation to come up with such concrete aims
- so maybe we can agree to creating a list of concrete aims and agree for people to spend time making proposals and spend a significant amount of time on this at the next meeting
- another way of categorising what was reported:
- clear that we want common messages and we need to decide what these are
- need to decide what it is that we want to target (ie: some want to target the COP, others want to reject it completely)
- some want to target not just the COP but also the corporations
- what kind of messages are we planning? underlying all of this is a political analysis: but should be seen in terms of message, target and actions
- need to make clear in Cph is that the way the COP process has worked is not solving the problem but on the other hand a lot of people are believing in it and that these people genuinely want to solve the crisis
- something good that we could achieve would be to question this belief and they are not solving the climate crisis but are doing post-colonialist structures and a renewal of capitalism in the realm of climate change
- let's use the message, target and action framework to guide this discussion – this is very useful
- if we want to go in that direction, how should be structure the discussion to start pushing this
- if we put in the message somewhere that the COP is not solving climate change and is not dealing with the crisis and is actually doing a new colonialism, then we can win a lot by putting both messages in one and engaging the south and the north
- for clarity: what are we saying to the COP? Are we saying, you shouldn't be meeting, fuck off, or are we saying what you've done so far has been totally flawed and you need to do something else and deliver something else
- do we reject the COP as a process or are we asking for something else
- this puts us back to last meeting and this only divided us
- so, if we just look at COP as one target is there a way to frame it that doesn't lead us down this unresolvable path?
- 'do something useful, get out of town' – the reason why we're saying it should get out of town is that it is more likely to make a bad solution rather than that it can't
- do what we want, or go away

proposal:

- from the groups there was a strong demand for common messaging and narrative
 - we can flesh out climate justice as a common ground
 - in the framework of climate justice certain commonalities are emerging: food sovereignty, ecological debt, leave fossil fuels in the ground, etc
 - it would be important to see if we could synthesise the various common grounds we've produced so far into a story we want to tell with our different actions and in spite of our different relationships to the COP
 - tell a common story that says how we can solve the problem rather than what won't solve the problem
-
- what we all agree on here is that the COP as it is currently running is not in any way going to solve climate change and that most people here have lost patience with the way governments are acting right now within the COP and we want something else
 - for movement building this framework can be very important: right now the broad public has the feeling the UN is going to solve the problem but we need to get the anger across in the streets and this can be a strong and important message
 - agree with the idea of trying to create a common message but in this group size and with this little time it's hard/possibly impossible → let's make it a goal
 - propose something like: fifteen years of failure/false solutions, it's time to move on
 - there are some things we all want, whether we think it can come out of the COP or not (like leave coal in the ground, deeper carbon cuts/more rapidly, etc)
 - given that we won't be able to agree completely on the pivotal point of whether are we calling for no COP, then let's look at the media story we can try to create and this will make a way to go forward
 - you've been fucking up for the last fifteen years, we're fed up, so here is what you need to do or get out... – leading up to the big actions, this is the narrative we create – this call should be very strong
 - if and when towards the end of the second week we see it is not getting anywhere, that's the time to step it up and tell them to get out of town
 - if we forget for a moment the word COP, and focus on what's the real problem, maybe our core message could be focused on the fact capitalism is not going to provide us any answers to any problem and so a bunch of capitalist corporations and governments are not going to create solutions → COP is just a moment in time so look at the political formulation not the event itself
 - now we are starting to debate on our common story – can we get our act together to have proper messaging for this network
 - in our group we talked about bypassing the issue of the COPs legitimacy in our public messaging (we have already agreed to disagree on certain messages due to diversity) and just say what we want rather than anything about the COP
 - get a messaging group to go away, which is empowered to write a short text that we can then look at in the larger group
 - we have the discussion now and then go away with it to work on it further

- let's stick with the message from the group that said fossil fuels in the ground, address ecological debt, etc
- in my eyes, capitalism is the force we really need to counter at the COP – in some countries we have massive indigenous resistance going on against land grabs and in fact, this is exactly what REDD will cause → and many don't see the connection between these two things
- need powerful balance to corporate interest and climate colonialism
- in Bonn we saw that we shouldn't lose hope in the movement
- let's have symbolic actions that bring us further as a movement
- the message is simple: climate justice
- strategy, programs of activity including symbolic action – that will support the movement – even if the aim of this group isn't realised in december, it will show where this movement is going
- two main objectives: COP is making things worse and there is another agenda of people's power and justice
- the topic of climate colonialism and Copenhagen is very connected to Peru right now – we shouldn't just be getting people to Cph, we should be bringing international solidarity to Peru!
- More than Cph is what is happening in the world and we should think of making urgent action for Peruvian indigenous people
- let's just decide this is our forum for all the diverse actions we want to take place – we set the agenda and we make the actions
- let's at least say clearly that the COP is not solving the problem – a good and clear statement that doesn't necessarily create the conflict of dividing us between those who want to influence the negotiations and those who think they are the problem → can we agree with this??
- The COP isn't solving the climate crisis (present tense, this does not get into the territory of if it could solve it)
- one stand aside that if we say this publicly this will make it impossible to influence things inside of the COP

small group/working group to create synthesis of ideas/messaging:

- this group listens to everything that has been said here and tries to bring it together
- a smaller number makes it easier to create a written text compared to an open-ended working group
- concern that there is too much diversity for a group to go away with this right now
- someone else says they heard the opposite and there is lots of convergence here
- proposal: during open space there will be a messaging group that meets and from there they can continue in a small writing group → CONSENSUS
- the group will come back and propose it to the big group where we will amend, change, accept, reject, etc.

16:30 – 18:30: working groups

A brief introduction was given to each of the working groups before they met:

Logistics: need to set up a logistics structure for the COP15.

Mobilisation: has not done much yet

Solidarity: worked on visas and funding. Would like people from the South to join the group to assess visas, etc.

Media and messaging:

Action: new proposals, thematic days: agriculture, no borders, energy

Process: worked on agenda and facilitation

Webgroup and technical support:

Strategy discussions and the purse of the network will be discussed extensively over the next two days.

6:30 – 8:00 Open Space/Affinity Group/Project Group Time

SATURDAY

10:00 -11:00: Klimaforum update slot

Platform for Civil Society's Climate Forum

In preparation for COP15 – UN's climate summit in Copenhagen in December 2009 – a group of movements, unions, organizations, and individuals have joined forces in order to organize a concurrent event, Civil Society's Climate Forum.

The basis for Civil Society's Climate Forum is the realisation that there is no technological fix to the mounting climate crisis. No technology per se – such as i.e. nuclear power, agrofuels, GMO's carbon capture and storage – can lead us out of the climate crisis. Such solutions will typically lead to far larger problems than they

solve. In contrast, sustainable societies require a diversity of locally based solutions – which again require significant mobilisation of civil society.

Civil Society's Climate Forum believes that what is needed is building a finely balanced relation to nature, thus reducing consumption and production, rather than uncritically exploiting nature and believing in economic growth as is the case in global society today. To attain such change we need new ways of thinking, new cultural values, and new means of organising society.

With this starting point, Civil Society's Climate Forum wishes to promote and debate true, renewable and environmentally sustainable solutions to the climatic changes we are facing. In other words, solutions that:

- 1) prioritise energy saving and energy effectiveness
- 2) promote the use of safe, clean, renewable energy
- 3) reduce greenhouse gas emissions and as such do not promote or cement the use of fossil fuels
- 4) are built on agricultural methods that fix carbon in the soil and reduce the use of fertilisers and which do not create a threat towards ecosystems, the climate and biodiversity
- 5) secure sustainable use of as well as equal and just access to Earth's resources
- 6) remain critical to the blind focus on consumption which dominates the global society today.

Our wish is that the above list of focus areas for the Civil Society's Climate Forum will provide a positive platform for constructive debate and exchange of ideas. Civil Society's Climate Forum aims at constituting a cohesive framework for a palette of conferences, workshops, exhibits etc., to which grass roots and organisations from all over the world will contribute during the COP15 conference in Copenhagen.

History

Danish groups got together. Civil society's Climate forum. Negotiate for Danish funds. Some of the well-established NGOs dropped out when techno-fixes were rejected. Danish ActionAid. Out of the meeting came people's climate action. They had flowcharts with a huge secretariat taking care of business. Then PCA (People's Climate Action) asks Klimaforum to join. Then the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, who is well-trained in formal politics---dominated by the major NGOs. It became apparent that the 2 biggest energy co. in Danmark (Mærsk and Dong Energy) had. Then Klimaforum is accused of being "undemocratic" and even "Stalinist". Yet they had a centralized structure that was not inclusive. Klimaforum ended up signing on to an agreement with lots of legalease paragraphs about autonomy and non-autonomy. The money is secured with a lot of string attached.

Decision-making groups in Klimaforum

- KlimaX
- Permaculture Intl
- FOEI
- Long list of others....

Klimaforum's International Supporters

- Action Equator
- Platform roundtable
- Environment Justice
- Focus on the Global South
- Friends of the Earth Intl
- Global Exchange
- Global Forest Coalition
- Int. network for Sustainable Energy
- Jubilee South
- Long list of others....

3 aims of Klimaforum

- Facilitate activists who are coming: food, housing
- Documentation for radical positions on climate... work on a declaration
- To establish a watchdog. After Rio '92 things got worse and worse.

We got 1.2 mil (?) Euro. Have a Secretariat. There will be 8 people more. After that, we proposed a exhibition hall for 30,000... but they cut it. Now we have a sporting center for 3-6,000 people. We feel that the documentation around the IPCC is not sufficient. More and more scientists have talked about this. They want COP to be stopped because the information level is so poor. There is a proposal... and they hope that the process will run. The 4 days in the first week they hope to come up with a list of activities... with radical attitudes that can develop in relation to the 2nd week. They are negotiating with the municipalities around housing. København new life is trying to establish private accommodations. Danes register guest. 5,000 NGOs in 5,000 private homes. They want Denmark to show a little hospitality. When you come, you will have 5 challenges to follow with the hosts you are living with. Website is: www.newlifecopenhagen.com. There are other initiatives for churches, etc. This is just aiming for 5,000.

Reactions

- Klimaforum is a grassroots, not an NGO.

Discussion:

- Does Klimaforum want some sort of endorsement?
- Answer: Maybe... sure... however, I don't mean to imply that it takes the place of CJA.
- Question: does "no tech fix" mean "no windfarm?" "no solar?"...
- Response: no simply addresses that we don't just look to tech... that social concerns are also valid and very much a piece of the issues.

- Question: platform says that exploiting nature and economic growth are the problem... but the solutions proposed only suggest things that exploit nature, etc.

Proposal:

That CJA support the platform.

> **Decision:** Consensus: CJA supports the Klimaforum platform.

11:00 – 12:00: Project / affinity groups breakout

The various project and affinity groups met and gave feedback to the plenary

Logistics Working Group

Roy and Michala. HOUSING: Municipality negotiations. For now the municipality says there is space for 1,000 people. There are churches. There are 7,000. Possible spaces of unions. Possibly scout huts and sports places. The kommune will put up toilets around town. There is the possibility of occupying. Also thinking about Sweden. Ideas: contact your embassies so they can put pressure on the municipality.

FOOD: some mobile kitchens from other countries are coming.

TRANSPORT: coach buses to move people to actions or to accommodations. It would be helpful to centralise this a bit.

FUNDING: money is still needed. Question about how fundraising is going to work. Question about how this will work in relation to Solidarity money... for those flying in from other countries.

Questions: If we plan buses to come from Stockholm, etc. When should we aim for? Response: good question, but it's basically up to you at this point. Klimaforum and Christiania housing is asking for housing before the 2 weeks... for activists who are arriving from out of town.

Question: is the municipality going to give free public transport? Response: it is under negotiation. What about bicycles? Response: good idea.... Bicycle workshop.

NEXT MTG: We are not meeting again this weekend.

Mobilization Working Group

We have an idea for a new webpage. It will be really user-friendly. We are working on a toolkit with poster- and flier-templates to download and easily insert a date, event, etc. And have "how to mobilize"... 5 min. speech, 1-hour workshop, 10 min. speech, a list of films, how to get a hold of it. We are also mapping the world... so that people can click on it and find groups in their area.

Note: Tosh says that “do you want to be a contact person for your country” is one of the questions on the registration for this conference.

Question: How will people find that page? We will tell you tomorrow. We have some tekkies.

NEXT MTG: We will meet again during lunch.

Solidarity Working Group

We discussed: visas, funding, outreach. We have some money: so that 5 people can come from the Global South and 30 from eastern europe. We also thought about having a toolkit, but more focused on the south. There are 2 events in the African union in july... one in south america... and one in central america in August. So we wanted to publicize events there. It was an idea to make the information. We are not going to do anymore visa applications or funding, unless someone wants to do that work. There was an idea that we could dovetail off the WTO even that is happening in Europe the week before. There was a question about if we use the money we have to bring people to the next CJA planning meeting in Sept. or wait and use that money for Dec. We are meeting over lunch about the toolkit.

Question: can Klimaforum help with the visas? Response: yes go to our website and sign up. Global South meeting response: we would rather come in Dec. instead of another meeting. Will Sept. 28-Oct.10 mtg in Bangkok have someone report back to solidarity group?

> **Decision:** CJA consents to use the money for Dec. instead of the Sept. mtg.

Question: What is the process for deciding who gets funded? What about those who were funded and did not come? Correction: they got *approval* for funding... so we didn't give it to someone else... but they did not actually get any money. Note: most people from the south are raising their own money to come... they do not expect that this group will provide for them. Question: What about live internet hook-up and sharing across countries. Who will do it? Mobilization group has “written it down”... which doesn't necessarily mean they will do it...

NOTE: anyone who registers as a participant can get a letter of invitation. Deadline for getting your group registered: www.unfccc.int

Language question: how do we get this translated into other languages? Translation in general needs to be taken into consideraion.

Media Working Group

Messaging is starting. Media list: please get them to the media group. We want to build a list for storytelling. People that are organizing climate action camps, and other mobilizations... we will send out details on this conference's mailing list.

NOTE: we had talked about having a press kit on the website... so hopefully the website group can join that effort. A question that remains: What happens on the fly? If there are things that have political content, how is the media group empowered to make those decision?

RESPONSE: we want people stories... who are the real victims? How are they impacted?

QUESTION: Is this the media group for CJA or for "the network"? RESPONSE: we need to address that. NOTE: Klimaforum will have steady contacts with journalists... it is open to you.

NEXT MTG: over lunch.

Action Working Group

Has been going through the dates to what is already planned.... Coordinate. There are ongoing things: one idea from Greenpeace: follow the delegates... follow the corporate lobbyists... They are others, check with them. FOEI has something planned on the 12th. Our idea: on Friday, Dec. 11th is the chamber of commerce and "corporate theme day"... NTAC also has their eyes on that date. Sat. 12th Global action day. Sun. 13. Mon 14th debt and ecological finance. 15th agriculture, excess and forests. 16th is start of ministerial. Idea for a mass action... which is the idea we agreed on last March. We will discuss it at 5:30.

COMMENT: it is really important that we have a calendar of all the actions.
Response: we plan to.

NEXT MTG: 6:30 tonight.

An Action: Business day:

Will meet during the open time this evening

Process Working Group / Website

We reviewed what we've done so far... and what we plan. We've done email lists, working groups, these agendas. We feel there is a real lack of capacity and it's come down to a small group of people. We really need people to get involved. We really feel the group needs to take some responsibility for the agendas and have it fall on the process people. General discussion about this network: (1) simply information sharing; (2) organizing things collectively... and putting in the work to do that. We would prefer it to be #2, but feel it is more #1 since people are not putting in the work.

COMMENT: The people who have invested the most time in getting this mtg going are from the autom community... and this meeting didn't turn out like we wanted. Partially due to the NTAC split. So... we're not just asking for facilitators, we're striking and nothing will happen. COMMENT: we want to put together a political perspective on why

NEXT MTG: after this meeting and continuing through lunch.

Messaging Working Group

We want to put together a political statement for using now. Then decide what to do with it.

You cannot fix a broken system. Climate Justice movement to converge on climate talks. The UN talks will. Climate criminals continue to pollute. To take action on. No more false sol. We cannot trust the market . socialize and decentralizing energy. Leave fossil fuels in the ground. Relocalizing our food production system. Cannot have infinite growth on a finite planet. We need to... QUESTION: can we ask the messaging group to have 4 or 5 one-liners.

General consensus: it is good. Those who have comments, tell us... and we won't take people and energy away from the other groups (process, etc.)

NEXT MTG: this afternoon.

Affinity Groups

Ecological Debt

Corporate lobbying

Transient Messaging Group

12 – 14:15 ?

15:15 – 15:30 Name discussion

The March meeting had a small and stressed discussion about the name. There were some people who thought the process was bad. "Global Action for Climate Justice" was another idea that was suggested after the meeting. This is a quick discussion to see if we have some agreement.

3 questions:

- Who doesn't care? (about 1/4 raised hands)
- "Climate Justice Action"? (about 1/4 twinkle)
- Who doesn't like it?

- Would you block CJA? No... would stand aside.
- "CJA" stands for Criminal Justice Act in the U.K.
- Would have strongly preferred to change... but now it's too late... would stand aside.

“Global Action for Climate Justice” Discussion

- Likes it: about 1/3.
- Doesn't like it: it's confusing... sounds like several other groups. Act for Climate Justice is the north american.

Who dissents?

- Go with what we've got.
- Don't like the branding. We've got GCAP and G.... too similar.
- “Action for Climate Justice”? (1/2)
- Any dissent? Just keep the old name... if it isn't any better. That was why “global” was added.

> **DECISION: keep the name ‘Climate Justice Action’**

15:00 – 16:00 Small groups discussion: Strategy

People were asked to form small groups based around others that loosely shared their position/background. The groups were asked to discuss the following points:

1. Define what the network is for (share info as a coalition vs. doing collective action... i.e. the function of the group)

2. How will we achieve the goals we talked about yesterday?

3. Building global network.

4. Specific things that groups will contribute?

Summarize the results from your small group, not the entire conversation. NOTE: the answer to question #3 depends on the answer to question #1. Come back at 16:45.

16:00 – 17:30 Plenary discussion: Strategy

Report back group 1 / Netherlands... horizontal orgs & indivs (7 people):

1. Purpose: logistics, organizing 2 or 3 joint actions, sharing info, a discussion format
2. What we do collectively: media, mass actions, support... accomodations, etc.
3. What do we see ourselves doing: 1 with media; 2 mass actions; supporting small actions (medics and legal teams... from our climate camp); some working on eastern europe... funding applications; mobilization: are bringing 2 busses

Report back group 2 / Scand, east europe (done actions together) 8-9:

1. Want more than just info sharing... actions!
2. Our way of reaching the goals had to do with creating actions.
3. Building global movement
4. Don't want to contribute much, if action isn't part.

Report back group 3 (5 people):

1. solidarity, networking, sharing... specific event
2. good communication, organization, max visibility, common action
3. campaign network, disseminating information, contributing to working groups of networks

Report back group 4 (climate justice now):

1. Take collective action (possible beyond).
2. what do we need: stronger coordination between european groups and danish groups. Klimaforum to help.... Groups need to take a lead on actions. Need for decentralized space for southern participation and clear commitment from this group.
3. Research, showing info to outside from inside Copenhagen, have outside actions feed into COP15 (ecological debt); want real precise planning (police situation; who will be targetted? How far to push?)

Report back group 5:

1. more than info, but maybe one big mass civil disobedience action
2. other groups... action strategy... 10,000
3. info on website, trainings, banners

Report back group 6 (the bigger organizations)

1. recognizing need for broad spectrum of actors... our role here: observe, listen, look for ways to cooperate.... Sharing info so that we are more than a some of the parts.
2. toolkits: banner workshop; bringing voices of global south; go outside of "business as usual" and stretch our own organizations; ask for cooperation particularly on the 12th... family friendly event as big as possible.

Report back group 7 (mostly UK):

1. Definitely leaning towards collective action side. Want it to be a network, not organization. Make sure we don't clash with each other.
2. False solutions... raising awareness... fundraisers for southern activists... shut it down when it is clear that it is a bad decision.
3. post 2010 strategy.

Report back group 8 (mostly germany):

1. want action and network. Too much talking. Want anti-capitalist perspective. Proposal... more time on the agenda to talk about actions.

> **DECISION: Consensus:** we're here to share info as well as do collective actions.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: (1) street party in secret location leave entrance of Christiania at 10:30 sharp; (2) movie will run during dinner; (3) Agenda for next meeting will be posted on the wall for people to write on. (4) break is only 15 minutes.

18:00 – 19:00 Actions

1.) Creating new spaces

After the 'creating new spaces' mass action was agreed at the last meeting, a proposal was submitted with some friendly modifications.

Background: towards the end of the March meeting we talked about a mass action. Some wanted to shut it down, others not so much. NGO groups inside would join the outside groups at the fence.

The action group did not really do anything further.

The German group puts forward a friendly amendment because the "new space" concept is too fuzzy. It should be more forceful, determined and disobedient. We propose the following modifications:

- On one day of the Copenhagen Summit, a "March of the Excluded / Marginalized / Voiceless / Dispossessed / Disobedient" ... takes place.
- The objective of the march is to push into the conference building and to transform the summit into our platform for this one day. The goal is not to shut down the whole Summit!
- On this day, the regular summit programme would be impossible. It would be the hour of the movements from below, who would speak for themselves and decide the agenda. In particular, the voices of those affected by climate change from the Global South would have a forum.
- In terms of political content, the deficits and false solutions of the currently dominant climate policies would be challenged and alternative shown.
- What particular form this platform would take ("peoples assembly" / open forum / summit from below...) and whether there should be some kind of declaration should be decided together during the preparation process.
- The result could be a global wake-up call and the initialisation of a "programme from below" as an alternative to the market-based scenarios peddled by COP15.
- If the march towards the conference building is massively obstructed or prevented, the whole programme could then develop into a kind of "new space". But we argue that to abstain in advance from a more determined critique of the summit would be wrong and moreover, would be difficult to communicate.

- This concept should be developed in strong coordination and cooperation with actors from social movements inside the summit to have as much as possible actions inside as well (inside/outside strategy) and should be prepared internationally with a broad range of different groups.

COMMENTS: secrecy? What about plan "B"... how do we avoid a riot? Why we can get in: many will have badges. No secrecy.... Presume we are going inside, and running the show. Make it impossible for them to ignore us. Forcing ourselves in is a bad idea because it is easy to get credentials to get in. Very exciting... really support it. Call it "March of the Majority". We need to be clear about messaging.

> **DECISION: Consensus:** CJA accepts the friendly amendment. The small group will come back with specifics.

2.) Initiative for mass action: "Hit the production of climate chaos"

"Hit the production of climate chaos" handout. An action on another day... aim to show which people stand in the way. It could target a coal plant... what climate protection really looks like... we have lost patience and take it into our own hands. Possibly the harbour... the shipping industry...

QUESTION: what form would the action take? RESPONSE: non-violent... no confrontation coming from the group... very accessible... want 10,000 people. On the Sunday.

QUESTION: what is the relation to NTAC? There are people at NTAC also working on it... but it is not an NTAC action. RESPONSE: on the same date (13th) there is a big church event planned... Arch Bishop of Canterbury... Desmond Tutu & Ban Ki Moon. Also... things will be closed. Will end it will 350 bell strokes... call of prayer, action and alarm to focus the world. We "pray" you don't pick the same day.

COMMENT: good if it is cooperative... link with latin american groups.

COMMENT: be sure it doesn't interfere with other stuff.

> **DECISION: Consensus:** CJA supports the concept of this action!... the small group will come back with specifics.

19:00 – 20:00 Open Space: Project / Affinity / Working group time

SUNDAY

11:30 – 12:30 Messaging

Messaging working group proposal

This will supplement the call to action we have already agreed upon.

- **HEAD:** You can't fix a broken system. Climate Justice movement to converge on U.S. climate talks.
 - Comments: self-destructive or unjust... instead of "broken"

- **Paragraph 1:** UN climate talks won't solve climate crisis. The talks legitimize colonialism.
 - Comment: are we saying the UN isn't capable of solving this?
Response: it is saying they haven't done it yet.
 - Comment: do we have scientific evidence for "no closer to reducing greenhouse gas emissions"? Response: yes, very factual here.

- **Paragraph 2:** Faced with profound crisis of civilization, we get a political circus. CJA is mobilizing tens of thousands internationally.
 - Comment: "masses" instead of a specific number

- **Paragraph 3:** No more false solutions. Contrary to green capitalists.
 - Leave fossil fuels in the ground.
 - Socializing and decentralizing energy
 - Re-localizing our food production
 - Recognize and repay climate debt.
 - Respecting indigenous people's rights.
 - Comment: include forest people's rights.

- **Paragraph 4:** Real solutions are being built by those who have always protected the earth. Need to globalize solutions toward zero carbon future. Globalize hope and future.
 - Comment: "hope" is a christian concept... perhaps a different word.

- **Overall comments:**

- People and community control over our resources and production.

- Infinite growth on a finite planet... what about “material” growth?

Response: messaging group took this into consideration, but thinks simplicity of original is more powerful.

- Maitet’s comment.

- Add respect women in the last paragraph.

- Colonialism.... Response: Messaging group feels they addressed it.

- Comment: Only an incidental references to urgency. Need something more powerful.... address time limit. Response: this is addressed in call to action.

A time window denies existence of those already impacted. Comment: we need it

short, don’t think urgency needs to be there... “urgency” is not effective for

grassroots political organizing... it leads to top-down politics. (Suggestion:

“Science shows that time has already run out for some”.) Comment: the liberals negotiate about timing, so we should absolutely include this in our statement.

> **Decision:** we can’t resolve the issue of “urgency” or “no urgency” now.

Comment: let’s add something about significant reduction of consumption in the global north. Comment: there is also disenfranchisement in the north.

12:30 – 13:30 After COP15... how we see ourselves in 2010

Brainstorm

- Best scenario: COP breaks down

- More difficult: They arrive at bad decision

- Best scenario: it becomes clear that we cannot trust the elites. Then we call on people globally to take direct action.

- Use all contacts from this network

- COP16 in Mexico. World Social Forum in Senegal Jan.2011. European Social Forum in Istanbul.

- Global day of action after COP15

- The real work begins after COP15. Call to shift political discourse. Balance of power.

- Australian networks: risk of extreme de-escalation after COP15. Pick a date in Feb or March 2010 for direct action. Even in best possible scenario (Obama commits to massive change), we still need to take down the fossil fuel industry.

- No green capitalism. Need social connected with enviro... unions, farmers... We have to embrace all types of action because all cultures come from different orientations

- We need an action immediately after the COP15 certifiably fails.

- Enforce our re-written policy.

- We need to set aims and goals for the network.

- The nature of the network... it is largely European... which makes sense now with focus on Copenhagen. But we need to have commitment for more global.

- Build on momentum when COP15 fails.
- Party in Copenhagen after the COP.
- Address consumption-driven Christmas which happens directly after COP.
- Bring concrete proposals to next meeting.
- Take this back to your networks and regions.
- 2010: ask the question of your local area... how does this network feed into your work in the coming year?
- I want an intifada... not a "day".
- 122 corps are responsible for 80%. So: (a) governments gets out of our way; (b) shut those corps down.

Kingpins of carbon original document :

<http://www.nrdc.org/publications/printonly.asp>

http://www.peri.umass.edu/toxic100_index/

<http://carma.org/company>

- Proposal: find these corps and start sounding out these networks between now and the next meeting.
- There is no global movement calling for direct action. This is lacking.
- Let's have the call against the 122 all year round.
- "Corporate intifada" is very strong. Direct action is our key.

- -----Nicola's notes-----
- Future depends on COP's outcome
- Map 2010's events and use COP to mobilize for them (COP16)
- COP fails... then call to direction action vs. climate criminals and governments
- Use network to launch our own dates... also with specific focus on local issues
- Shifting the political discourse and further the global movements broadening the network.
- Step out of UN's dates and have the "next date" by december
- Reach out to different movements
- Build alliances and respect different approaches
- Action / Event at the end of COP
- Set network's specific goals that don't end with COP 15
- Make the network more global
- Keep in mind a possible COP 15-1/2
- Build on the anger that will come from COP15's failure
- Party in CHP after COP
- Have CJA's meetings in the global south
- Focus on Xmas and overconsumption
- Have defined proposals we can discuss in the next meeting
- Have this discussion in the regional meetings
- Global Network fills the gap and call for direct action and "Corporate Intifada"

- Add a “After COP15” section on the website for further discussion.

13:30 – 14:30: Next Meeting

How many more meetings?

- Political work is done... so no more than one beforehand.
- But also one in Dec. immediately prior

Where / When

- Klimaforum will have meeting areas
 - Possibly not in CPH... we haven't really used CPH
 - Berlin is not very interested... and Amerstam just took on finance
 - CPH because presense of the Danish groups is important
 - Nice to have mtg in a place that isn't so fascist in handing out visas...
- Response: many people from the global south aren't coming to the next mtg, so it won't be an issue

> Decisions:

- **Consensus:** Klimaforum in CPH will organize the next CJA meeting (including accomodation, food, etc). Meeting space for 200... and smaller spaces as well.
- **Consensus:** Oct. 16-18th (after Bangkok).
- **Consensus:** Call on each region / country to have “regional mobilization meetings” beforehand.

Process Group

- Working group reps to finance group will help things along.

Agenda

- Finance
- Media policy
- Beyond COP
- Separate “info sharing” part of agenda for non-network members
- 2010 Direct Action and Corporate Intifada
- Dress rehearsal of action “walk in”
- Agenda this time was good! Process group is doing a good job!
- Open spaces & Working Group spaces
- It would be brilliant if we had the agenda earlier.
- It would help if people came with flushed out proposals
- Organizing the space we are going to have.... And overall week's activities.
- Process group asks for more people to join... particularly people from the global south
- We will pick a mtg date in Dec. at the closing session.

- Specify what we expect each working group to do.

15:00 – 15:45 Global Campaign for Climate Action
(GCCA): <http://www.tcktcktck.org/>

Representatives from GCCA were asked to explain about their network. There was a discussion around the nature of GCCA and its relation to CJA.

- Oxfam:
- Global Humanitarian Forum
- 350
- Greenpeace
- World Wildlife Foundation
- Pew Foundation
- Christian Aid
- iied
- AVAAZ.org
- E3G
- Union of Concerned Scientists
- Vitae Civilis
- Equiterre
- CSM
- World Resources Institute

Oxfam employee

Have heard concerns. In the spirit of trust, unity and respect, wanted to come here and find common ground. All the groups have a variety of activities and we need to work together. Corporate interests are trying to split us, so we need to find a way to stick together. CONCERNS: have heard that some are worried about public messaging around actions.

- Concern about “good protest” vs. “bad protest” dialogue. It would be good to encourage the big NGOs to say, “we cannot comment on that action, as we weren’t part of it... but we can comment on climate change...”
- What is the platform that unites them?
- Response: it is a loose framework with a common visual identity. Tck Tck Tck is the visual symbol. <http://www.tcktcktck.org/>
- Who are the indigenous groups?
- What have the NGO groups learned from Glen Eagles?
- I wasn’t part of Oxfam then. But it is widely recognized even in Oxfam as a problem... something not to repeat.
- What do you see your role in these meetings as?
- Participating as an individual... try to influence Oxfam
- Aside from the political differences between the big NGOs and us...
- How about GCCA advocating solutions that are not solutions at all?

- False solutions are proposed with the excuse of “when talking to politicians, we need to talk their language”.
- She acknowledges that this is tricky... everyone wants to promote their own agendas...
- Need to emphasize politics, not movie-stardom
- She doesn't know about the Bono campaign...
- More power to you that you are participating as an individual
- Sharing information of actions
- This is essential.

Greenpeace employee

- That we come to agreement on how to communicate about stuff.
- I want to see how we can contribute to make your actions better.

Direct opposition on some issues

1. We want the media space... so that you don't use our actions to push your message.
2. You are having influence on our actions.
3. Concrete example: Youth participation in GCCA.
4. We are not here to influence the decision-makers, we are calling for something quite different... that it isn't a legitimization.
5. Some of the organizations in the Global South are also trying to influence the process... and work with many of the groups in the GCCA.

After concerns had been raised about the nature of the involvement of some individuals from other networks organisations the following statements were made

1. We ask those who are here representing their organization: not share details of actions that have been agreed at this meeting. The fact that we're planning a march is OK. CJA will officially tell GCCA what it wants to share... that way the reps here don't take responsibility for passing information.
2. We ask those who are here representing their organization: to actively disengage from influencing decision-making if you disagree with CJA strategic goals. NOTE: if a group is “fundamentally in conflict”, they shouldn't be at the meeting.

No decisions made... feelings expressed.

17:30 – 18:45: Report-backs from Working / Affinity Groups

Messaging Group

The group re-wrote statement to address earlier concerns. The group felt that the concern about urgency expressed earlier, did not have full group support, so it was not addressed.

> **Decision:** Consensus achieved on re-write, with one stand-aside (because it did not mention urgency).

Action Group

- Title: Reclaim Power! Pushing for Climate Justice
- Aspects of the action consensus:
 - To take part in this action is to accept this action consensus
 - Goal: to change the agenda, make it into a *peoples' climate justice summit* for a day
 - That means: led by a 'climate justice delegation' composed largely by people from the global South, our march will enter the building, disrupt the sessions and take over the podiums
 - our intention is to overcome barriers they put in our way
 - we will use tools to break through physical barriers, but we do not intend personal harm to anyone, and will not respond to escalation from the police. We will use the force of our collective body to achieve our goal
 - Our action will be an act of civil disobedience
 - We are planning multiple action scenarios
 - It will be a mass action, open to all people/movements (levels of experience)
 - We will meet at a common starting point, which will be legal/announced to the police and media. There will be a police liaison
 - We propose to set up an action council to take decisions during the action
 - (Keep the inside-stuff relatively quiet, not top-secret, but not part of the public message)
- Comments:
 - Title: Change "pushing" because it is a soft word.... RESPONSE: it was intentional... wanted to illustrate what we planned to do. Comment withdrawn after explanation from the Action group.
- > **Decision:** Accept proposal as read

Finances

- Give Klima collective some money for all their work.
- BUDGET: Rough draft on how to split up money... some is ear-marked, some by percentage. Each working group needs to come with their own budget.
 - Website highest priority... but it is cheap... and already has some money.
 - Actions 30%
 - Logistics 30%
 - Accomodation
 - Food
 - Convergence centers
 - Legal
 - Medics
 - Solidarity 15%
 - Reason it is lower than in the past: Klimaforum already has some money earmarked for people from the Global South.

- Includes the toolkit.
- Media 15%
- Phones
- Media center
- Unknown reserve 10%
- AUTONOMY: there is no way to do the work without being empowered. But need one member from each working group to participate in Skype meeting.
- PERSUING MONEY: we want to be able to persuing all monies which have no strings attached, as defined by the Finance committee.
- LOGISTICS: instead of using another org's account, we would have our own separate account under their name.
- PLEDGING: not ready yet... we'll do it over dinner.
- IF YOU NEED DISBURSEMENTS: come see us at dinner.
- ALL WORKING GROUPS: make a short text about your group.

> **Decision:** Consensus achieved on Finance committee's report.

Media Group

- Will send out press release on the basis of the text we just agreed upon.
- Want to do media work around debt.
- Want a media section on the website.

Website Group

- climatejusticeaction.org
- Static content now, and more dynamic later
- Up in a week or two

Klimaforum

- Next intl mtg... just as we talked about earlier
- What they want to do:
 - Dec. 12th document
 - Funding for people from the global south.... Convergence center....
- Info center with Wifi... cheap food

Climate, no borders

- Write a callout to send to no borders groups
- Also personally contact them

Hit the Climate Production

- Not much since yesterday

- Date and possible conflict: will stick to the Sunday, but will try to schedule timing so that people can attend both
- Forum of the action: unclear which target we choose, so this is not so clear

18:45 – 17:30 Evaluation

Divide into small groups, list results here:

- General feeling of being strong, united,
- In the start of something very big
- Strong backup
- Productive
- Great that we planned actions
- Exceeded expectations
- Venue was better than office last time
- Haven't dealt with 2010 question
- Alternatives is more than a press release
- Good diversity: but no labor representatives
- Disappointment about not received travel funds yet
- Some get automatic right to speak, while others not so much
- From day to day it got better and better
- Something is building and coming together
- Huge step forward in respect to the COP
- Very happy that we are recreating something like People Global Alliance
- Looking forward to Direct Action
- Negative: why not more Danes?
- Unfinished business: a really developed alternative... maybe it can start on the website
- CJA is a big opportunity
- Must more balance... more participation from the south
- Facilitation: there is a cultural difference and a difference of languages
- We must all leave the room feeling that we have contributed something good and can report back to our people that we have accomplished something
- Process went smoothly and were happy that we agreed on things and stayed radical
- Only disappointed that the working groups didn't really work since the last meeting
- Politically excited
- Very inspiring to take back to U.S.
- Came to consensus very quickly
- Downside is: CPH police force
- Central issue: need to involve more social groups... indigenous movements, Via campesino, etc. We are talking about people's lives...not just shutting down the talks.
- Beer is really expensive

Visualize that it is the day after COP15

- Finish this sentence: “I am so happy that _____ happened” or “I am so happy that _____ is the headline today”.

Announcements

- Collective action: clean up together... by 11am!!
- Tour tomorrow at 11am.
- Email notes to: climate09process@gmail.com